Percent of People Below Poverty Level in the Past 12 Months (for whom poverty status is determined)

USCENSUSBUREAU
American Community Survey 2003

Population for whom poverty status is determined

Rank	Place	Percent	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
1	Cleveland city, OH	31.3	27.8	34.8
2	Newark city, NJ	30.4	24.1	36.6
3	Detroit city, MI	30.1	26.8	33.4
4	Fresno city, CA	28.4	24.1	32.7
5	Miami city, FL	27.9	23.4	32.4
6	El Paso city, TX	24.5	20.6	28.4
7	Long Beach city, CA	24.1	19.7	28.5
8	Atlanta city, GA	23.5	19.5	27.5
8	Memphis city, TN	23.5	20.3	26.6
10	Philadelphia city, PA	22.3	20.0	24.5
11	Milwaukee city, WI	22.1	18.1	26.1
12	Buffalo city, NY	22.0	17.5	26.4
13	St. Louis city, MO	21.8	18.2	25.4
14	Tampa city, FL	21.3	17.3	25.3
15	Cincinnati city, OH	21.1	16.9	25.3
16	Dallas city, TX	21.0	18.6	23.4
17	New Orleans city, LA	20.8	17.2	24.5
18	Stockton city, CA	20.6	15.8	25.4
18	Baltimore city, MD	20.6	17.4	23.8
20	Toledo city, OH	20.3	16.2	24.5
20	Houston city, TX	20.3	18.6	22.0
22	Los Angeles city, CA	20.1	18.4	21.7
23	Washington city, DC	19.9	18.4	21.5
24	Chicago city, IL	19.3	17.4	21.2
25	Boston city, MA	19.1	15.7	22.5
26	New York city, NY	19.0	18.2	19.8
27	Tucson city, AZ	18.8	17.2	20.5
28	San Antonio city, TX	18.5	16.1	20.8
29	Lexington-Fayette, KY	18.1	14.2	22.0
30	Phoenix city, AZ	17.6	15.5	19.8
30	Minneapolis city, MN	17.6	14.5	20.7
32	Oklahoma City city, OK	17.0	13.7	20.3
33	Corpus Christi city, TX	16.8	12.6	21.1
34	Columbus city, OH	16.5	15.4	17.6
35	Santa Ana city, CA	16.4	11.2	21.6
35	Kansas City city, MO	16.4	13.3	19.5
37	Pittsburgh city, PA	16.1	13.1	19.0
38	Austin city, TX	16.0	13.5	18.5
39	Portland city, OR	15.6	14.4	16.9
40	St. Paul city, MN	15.4	12.1	18.8
41	Tulsa city, OK	15.2	11.4	18.9
42	Fort Worth city, TX	14.9	12.3	17.5
43	Oakland city, CA	14.7	11.0	18.5
44	Nashville-Davidson (balance), TN	14.6	12.3	16.9
45	San Diego city, CA	14.5	12.6	16.3
46	Jacksonville city, FL	14.2	11.9	16.5
47	Indianapolis city (balance), IN	13.6	11.8	15.5
48	Omaha city, NE	13.5	12.0	15.1
49	Wichita city, KS	13.3	10.3	16.2
50	Sacramento city, CA	13.1	9.8	16.4

Rank	Place	Percent	Lower Bound	Upper Bound
51	Riverside city, CA	13.0	9.2	16.7
52	Honolulu CDP, HI	12.7	10.7	14.8
53	Anaheim city, CA	12.6	8.0	17.2
53	Denver city, CO	12.6	10.4	14.7
55	Las Vegas city, NV	12.5	9.9	15.1
55	Albuquerque city, NM	12.5	10.2	14.9
57	Bakersfield city, CA	11.8	8.8	14.9
58	Mesa city, AZ	11.7	7.8	15.5
59	Aurora city, CO	11.5	8.3	14.7
59	Charlotte city, NC	11.5	9.0	14.0
61	Arlington city, TX	11.3	8.2	14.4
62	Raleigh city, NC	11.2	8.5	14.0
63	Seattle city, WA	10.0	8.2	11.7
64	San Francisco city, CA	9.5	8.6	10.4
65	Colorado Springs city, CO	8.3	6.2	10.5
66	San Jose city, CA	8.2	6.4	10.0
67	Anchorage municipality, AK	7.0	5.9	8.2
68	Virginia Beach city, VA	6.3	4.5	8.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2003 American Community Survey

The table above shows the margin of error, represented by the lower and upper bounds of the 90-percent confidence interval. The confidence interval gives a range of values likely to include the population true value. The smaller the confidence interval the more precise the estimate of the characteristic of interest.

An 'N' entry in the estimate, lower bound, and upper bound columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.